



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.42, No.39

October 6th, 2006

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "As the most disliked president in American history, Bush's popularity is mainly an invention of public relations organisations... The events are heavily scripted and look more like campaign advertisements than public gatherings. This type of manipulation is an affront to democracy, but it is effective none the less... The Bush presidency is a triumph in public relations. The country has been mobilized to support the narrow and unpopular agenda of a small group of western, white plutocrats while the American people have been duped into a war of aggression and seen the steady erosion of their civil liberties. The plan to control the world's resources has been carried off behind the mask of an empty figurehead who is little more than a diversion. Will we really be swindled out of our personal freedom by a shabby, soulless, Texas-phantasm who was conjured up by brainstorming PR executives?"
– Mike Whitney, <http://www.uruknet.org.uk/pic.php?f=bushhalo.ap_1.jpg> September 2006

FURTHERING THE PLAN by Betty Luks: Australians, as well as the American people, must ask themselves do they want to be swindled out of their freedoms, their basic institutions built up over the centuries, the states' and national infrastructure for which their taxes paid, control of their waters and their lands, through the multifarious schemes and plans of the new world order elites – and what they plan to do about it? We are already in deep trouble.

- It was recently announced by our own 'strutting Napoleon' John Howard that 'his government' has introduced an Office of Water Resources and the Parliamentary Secretary Malcolm Turnbull (former banker) will take charge of the new Office in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet. The Business Council of Australia recently issued a comprehensive plan calling for increased water trading to overcome the "man-made" deficiencies in supply, and welcomed the new office as "a very important first step".
- Policy director Maria Tarrant said, "It has the potential to improve coordination and planning but its critical challenge [is] going to be how it works with the states, because we need national leadership."

And why am I not surprised to learn "Senator Heffernan did not rule out the need for a future referendum to re-establish Commonwealth and state responsibilities for water but said it should only be held after a nationwide public education campaign." Of course! They have to get *their* 'spin doctors' to once more work on the minds of the Australian people.

Howard is playing down claims that he wants to create a new federal ministry for water. These 'one

In this issue: • *Thought For The Week* • *Furthering The Plan* • *They Are Flooding In* • *Intellectual Bread and Circuses* • *V For Vendetta* • *Who Is Doing This To Us?*

worlders' will do anything and everything but change the grinding debt-poverty-financial system which governs our every move.

Are we to assume the people wouldn't know how to overcome the problems of water shortages if they had control over their own financial systems? The financial system is what sets in motion the productive systems – and what hinders and constricts them as well.

What about the role of Local Government in this new world Utopia? According to a Local Government Association of South Australia report:

- On 6 September 2006 a resolution recognising the role of local government was introduced into both Houses of Federal Parliament. **The Labor Party introduced an amendment in support of a referendum to extend constitutional recognition to local government in recognition of the essential role it plays in the governance of Australia.** The resolution was passed unamended by the senate and was referred by the House of Representatives to the Main Committee for further debate.

Who remembers the 1988 Referendum? The politicians are hoping most Australians will have forgotten – or the younger generation never knew – that in the 1988 Referendum, along with other proposals, the Hawke government wanted to alter the Commonwealth Constitution to 'recognise' Local Government. Now it is the Liberal's turn to have a go.

At the time, Paul Kelly, *The Weekend Australian*, 14-15/5/88, claimed: "The Hawke Government's referendums are modest, simple and lack ulterior motives." Oh! Bless him. Not an unkind thought in his head – and so trusting!

At the time, the League of Rights warned: "The deliberately misleading wording of the four Referendum proposals, and their "modesty", are a classic example of the Fabian strategy of gradualism. Probably the most dangerous is that which seeks to bring Local Government further under the influence of the Commonwealth government. Exploiting the problems of Local Government has been a long-term Fabian tactic, developed cleverly by Fabian Socialist Gough Whitlam in his 1972 election campaign. Short sighted and ill-informed Municipal Councillors grasped eagerly at the Fabian bait. All four proposals must be defeated."

Two major tactics to centralise power: The League produced a flyer for the 1988 campaign opposing the proposals: "In two historic decisions, the 1982 case concerning Racial Discrimination and the 1984 case concerning the Franklin River Dam a majority of the High Court ruled that because of External Agreements, Canberra could overrule the States and the Constitution... Minority High Court Judge Wilson warned us in 1982: "The effect of investing the Parliament with power through Section 51 (29) (the External Powers) ... would be to transfer to the Commonwealth virtually unlimited power on almost every conceivable aspect of life in Australia, including health and hospitals, the work place, law and order, education and recreational and cultural activity..."

That was over twenty years ago – we are now witnessing the fruits of the agenda: Local Government councillors had their attention drawn to the further dangers of GATS, the General Agreement on Trade in Services, and were urged "to make representations to the Minister for Trade advising him of the view of Local Government that the GATS agreement should provide that all governments should retain their sovereignty to regulate services in the public sectors, especially on key issues such as health and safety, security and environmental issues." (Local Government Association of South Australia, 21 September 2006).

According to GATSwatch an online website: Rules on the global economy are becoming more intrusive into the lives of people, the fabric of communities and the powers of local government. GATS rules apply to 160 different service sectors – from educational provision to rubbish collection,

tourism services to transport policy, health delivery to the setting up of retail stores AND WATER DISTRIBUTION. (emphasis added).

GATS: Democracy, Public Services & Government Regulation: "The latest international trade agreement, the GATS goes far beyond any existing trade agreements in its scope... This agreement has the potential to drastically undermine the decision-making powers of national, regional and local governments..." Isn't it time Australians voted these 'globalist' politicians OUT of office?

THEY ARE FLOODING IN by James Reed: *The Australian*, 6/7/06, p.2, reported foreign workers are entering Australia at a rate of 158 a day and over 58,000 foreign workers holding temporary skilled work visas had already flooded in this year. The push is on, we are told, is to deal with the so-called skills crisis, a product of Paul Keating's abandonment of the apprenticeship scheme, by bringing in foreign truck drivers and farmhands. All this while a generation of our own cannot get work.

Greg Sheridan ("ALP Takes Low Path on Asia", *The Australian*, 6/7/06, p.12) played the tired old racism card in criticism of Kim Beazley's position on Asian skilled migrants; it is an "utterly disgraceful" and "unprincipled, putrid approach".

Oh, come on Greg, do you have to go on like that decade after decade?

Asian countries do much worse and are far more xenophobic. According to Amnesty International, China is one of the world's major arms exporters and is sustaining human rights abuses across the world. How about an article on that? Or would it upset Rupert?

According to Phillip Longman in "The Empty Cradle", by 2020 China's labour supply will be shrinking and its median population age older than the United States. Of course there may always be a nuclear confrontation with India along the way; India now has a nuclear-capable missile that can target Chinese cities. Why put our money on Asia to win the oblivion Stakes?

In another article "Global Order in Free Fall" (*The Weekend Australian*, 15-16/7/06, p.22) Sheridan says, "Whichever way you slice it, the bottom line is that global government has crucially broken down".

If this can happen so quickly, then what prevents the Asian sacred order from following? And if Asia goes into free fall, where will you be then Greg?

INTELLECTUAL BREAD AND CIRCUSES by James Reed: The Australian media have recently given considerable space to the achievements of two mathematicians. Terry Tao, with a 221 IQ from Adelaide originally, but now a professor of mathematics in the U.S. recently won the Field's medal in mathematics for work with Cambridge University mathematician Ben Green on prime number theory (proving that it is possible to compile a sequence of evenly spaced prime numbers, whatever that means).

Eclipsing even that achievement Grigori Perelman of Russia also seems certain to secure a Field's medal with his proof of Poincare's Conjecture: that an object such as a banana is deformable into a sphere but a doughnut with a hole in the middle is not!

Now I don't want to criticise fine intellects, but really this is all bread and circuses stuff when one looks at the multitude of problems the world faces.

Why waste high intellects on trivia? The university libraries are bursting with journals full of articles on such esoteric topics.

Maybe, just maybe, some of these conceptual adventures yield practical results, but I doubt it. Most of the time university life is just day care for people who should be thinking about other things.

If our distinguished mathematicians used their considerable intellect to say, take Einstein's special theory of relativity apart, or give a mathematical proof of the banking system's creation of credit *ex nihilo*, would they still be top of the pops?

V FOR VENDETTA by James Reed: I seldom watch movies and even more rarely have anything kind to say about Hollywood. Yet once in a while a rare healthy fish swims through the great net of the myth machine. The Lord of the Rings trilogy is an example. The new DVD release movie "V for Vendetta" is another.

The story is based on a DC Comics graphic novel about Thatcher's Britain. The scriptwriters have however made the plot about a totalitarian Britain in the future. America has, presumably, collapsed. The fear of terrorism has led to the people surrendering most of their freedoms, including the right of self-protection and owning weapons.

The main female character, Evey, first gets into trouble with the thought police, for carrying mace as protection against rape gangs. She is confronted in an alley by threatening rapists, who are also, it turns out, new world order police. They show their badges only after she takes out her mace for self protection. Raping appears to be one of the side-lines of being a thought 'cop'. Enter "V" wearing a Guy Fawkes mask and carrying only an array of large knives. He quickly dispatches the bad guys. Then speaking in eloquent English he invites Evey to watch as he blows up Big Ben. Thus begins V's terrorist campaign to bring down Britain's new world order. The action is intelligent, spectacular and gripping.

Let's give the ending away. This time Guy Fawkes wins. Parliament is blown up and all the bad guys are dispatched. V dies in the effort, but the bad guys go up with him. The people put on masks like V and take to the streets to regain their freedom; Evey says that V is all of us. The army, lacking a central command is like a headless chook. Ah... only in the movies!

WHO IS DOING THIS TO US? by James Reed: At a recent talk delivered by Canadian conservative columnist, Mark Steyn, organised by the Centre for Independent Studies in Sydney, Pauline Hanson was present and asked a question. She had been watching the changes in Australian culture and was tired of the erosion of Australian identity. This erosion was happening right across the West. She asked: "I want to know why this is happening and who is doing it?" A good question: we do not know what Mr. Steyn's answer was. (Source: *Sydney Morning Herald*, 18/8/06)

The short answer to this is that the "money power" or "global financial elites" are doing this in their quest for power, domination and ultimate destruction of us. To get a longer answer, you will need to read some excellent books available from the League.

If you are in Pauline's position, or know someone just "awakening" here is a short list of "must have titles": A book that has proved a 'winner' over the years is "None Dare Call It Conspiracy" by Gary Allen, also "Future Fastforward" the latest exposé by Matthias Chang, and Antony Lowenstein's "My Israel Question".

The League Book Services carries an excellent range of videos explaining what is planned for us in the new world order. Send for a list of the videos from the Book Service near you.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$40.00 p.a.